## Formation of Binuclear EDTA and Cu(II) Complexes in Aqueous Solutions

## by O. Gylienė\* and J. Aikaitė

Department of Environmental Chemistry, Institute of Chemistry, Goštauto 9, LT-2600 Vilnius, Lithuania, E-mail: gyliene@ktl.mii.lt

(Received February 4th, 2002; revised manuscript August 27th, 2002)

EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetate) is one of the most powerful chelating agents widely used in industry to enhance the metal ion solubility or to avoid the formation of insoluble metal compounds. It enters into strong complexes with heavy metal ions at the ratio 1:1. Such a peculiarity of EDTA hinders the metal recovery in the form of insoluble compounds, which is the common practice for the treatment of spent solutions containing heavy metal ions. Metals are removed from complexing agent containing solutions, as a rule, only after the oxidative destruction of ligands [1,2]. In order to diminish the treatment costs and the environmental impact the possibilities to recover and reuse ligands have also been investigated. Scarcely soluble H<sub>4</sub>EDTA in acidic (pH 0.5-1.5) solutions has been proposed for recycling copper plating solutions [3,4]. EDTA can be removed from solutions in the form of low soluble Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA · 4H<sub>2</sub>O as well [5]. The formation of the low soluble Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA · 4H<sub>2</sub>O compound from Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>4</sub>EDTA is known [6]. The structure and formation of  $M_2$ EDTA compounds in suspension of insoluble metal compounds by  $H_4$ EDTA, where  $M = Mn^{2+}$ ,  $Co^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$ ,  $Cu^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$ , were studied at high temperatures [7,8]. The reaction between Ag(I) and EDTA and the formation of insoluble Ag<sub>4</sub>EDTA were described in [9].

This study examines the interactions of Cu(II) and  $H_2EDTA^{2-}$  in solutions when the insoluble Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA · 4H<sub>2</sub>O is produced with the purpose to assess its composition, dissociation and solubility. The insoluble form of Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA · 4H<sub>2</sub>O is precipitated in aqueous solutions when the concentration of Cu(II) exceeds the concentration of EDTA and the range of pH is rather narrow (Fig. 1). The formation begins approximately at pH 2 and is most complete at pH 5.6. At higher pH the hydrolysis of Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA begins.

The interactions between  $Cu^{2+}$  and excess of EDTA were thoroughly investigated. In this case the stable complex  $CuEDTA^{2-}$  (pK = 18.8) is formed [10]. However, the influence of  $Cu^{2+}$  ion excess on the complex formation has not been investigated so far. For this purpose conductometric and potentiometric titration of  $H_2EDTA^{2-}$  with  $Cu^{2+}$  was carried out (Fig. 2a and b). A sharp potential jump at constant pH (Fig. 2b)

<sup>\*</sup>Author for correspondence.



Figure 1. Influence of pH on the residual EDTA (curve 1) and Cu(II) (curve 2) concentrations in solution, containing 50 mmol/l Cu(II) and 20 mmol/l EDTA.



 $\label{eq:Figure 2.} \begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Potentiometric} (\mbox{curve 1}) \mbox{ and conductometric} (\mbox{curve 2}) \mbox{ titration of 100 ml solution, containing} \\ 100 \mbox{ mmol/l } Na_2H_2EDTA \mbox{ (pH = 4) with 100 mmol/l } CuSO_4 \mbox{ (pH = 4) solution: a - without} \\ \mbox{ adjusting pH, b - at pH = 4.} \end{array}$ 

and a conductance jump without pH adjusting (Fig. 2a) are found, while titrating the  $Na_2H_2EDTA$  solution with the  $CuSO_4$  solution indicates the formation of  $CuEDTA^{2-}$  complex. The decrease in potential and conductance (Fig. 2a) with a further titration of  $H_2EDTA^{2-}$  containing solutions with  $Cu^{2+}$  indicates the interaction between the formed  $CuEDTA^{2-}$  complex and  $Cu^{2+}$  ions. Further investigations were carried out by titration of the formed  $CuEDTA^{2-}$  complex with  $Cu^{2+}$ . For this purpose a  $CuEDTA^{2-}$  complex solution was prepared by potentiometric titration of  $Na_2EDTA$  with  $CuSO_4$  up to the potential jump at pH 4.

When the CuSO<sub>4</sub> solution (pH 4.0) is added to the CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup> solution (pH 4.0), the total Cu(II) concentration is constant in the entire range of the added amounts of CuSO<sub>4</sub>. It is worth noting that the form of the conductometric and potentiometric curves depends on the titration rate. Therefore, 5 ml of the CuSO<sub>4</sub> solution were added to the CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup> solution every 2 min in order to achieve a better reproducibility. The formation of a distinct Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA precipitate begins after the addition of 50 ml of 0.1 mol/l CuSO<sub>4</sub> to 150 ml of 0.1 mol/l CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup> solution. At the same time the pH begins to decrease (Fig. 3a, curve 1) and an additional amount of NaOH is needed to maintain a constant pH. The concentration of free Cu<sup>2+</sup>, which was determined using an ion selective electrode, considerably differs from that, which was calculated from the added CuSO<sub>4</sub>. This difference is observed after the addition of 10–15 ml of CuSO<sub>4</sub>. Simultaneously the decrease in conductance also proceeds (Fig. 3a and b, curves 2).

Such results are due to the formation of a soluble  $Cu_2EDTA$  compound at the initial stage of titration. Its precipitation begins at a higher  $CuSO_4$  amount, when the pH begins to decrease. For the formation of 1 mol of the  $Cu_2EDTA$  precipitate 0.02 mol of NaOH is needed. In comparison, 2 mols of NaOH are used for the formation of 1 mol of CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup> complex.

The pH-metric titration of  $Cu^{2+}$ ,  $CuEDTA^{2-}$  and  $CuEDTA^{2-} + Cu^{2+}$  solutions (Fig. 4) indicates the formation of more stable compounds in the latter solution. In this case the formation of the insoluble  $Cu(OH)_2$  precipitate in a  $CuSO_4$  solution proceeds at pH 5.2, while in the solution containing  $CuEDTA^{2-}$ ,  $Cu(OH)_2$  precipitates at pH 5.8. If we assume that the formation of  $Cu_2EDTA$  proceeds according to:

$$Cu^{2+} + CuEDTA^{2-} \rightleftharpoons Cu_2EDTA,$$
 (1)

a free Cu<sup>2+</sup> ion concentration can be calculated from the solubility product

$$[Cu^{2+}] = \frac{S}{[OH]^2}$$
(2)

The solubility product (S) of Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> equals  $5 \cdot 10^{-20}$  [11], thus, the calculated dissociation constant  $K_d$  is  $1.28 \cdot 10^4$  l/mol. The spectrophotometric method of continuous variation was used to determine the complex dissociation constant and to confirm the complex composition. The measurements were carried out at a different ratio and constant total concentration (40 mmol/1) of Cu<sup>2+</sup> + CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup>



Figure 3. Potentiometric (curve 1) and conductometric (curve 2) titration of 150 ml solution containing 100 mmol/l CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup> (pH = 4.0) with 100 mmol/l CuSO<sub>4</sub> solution (pH = 4.0): a – without adjusting pH; b – at pH = 4.0; c – pH change without adjusting pH (curve 1) and amount of NaOH used for adjusting of pH (curve 2).



**Figure 4.** pH-metric titration of 100 ml solutions, containing 20 mmol/l CuSO<sub>4</sub> (curve 1), 20 mmol/l – CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup> (curve 2) and 20 mmol/l CuSO<sub>4</sub> + 20 mmol/l CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup> (curve 3).

in 0.5 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. The empirical formula of the complex established is 1:1.  $K_d$  calculated at different Cu<sup>2+</sup> and CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup> concentrations [7,12]

$$\frac{c_{\text{Cu}^{2+}}^{\circ} \cdot c_{\text{CuEDTA}^{2-}}^{\circ}}{\Delta A} = \left(c_{\text{Cu}^{2+}}^{\circ} + c_{\text{CuEDTA}^{2-}}^{\circ} + \frac{1}{K_d}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta A} - \frac{\Delta A}{(\Delta \varepsilon)^2}$$
(3)

is equal to  $3.4 \cdot 10^3$ .

According to [13] this equation for 1:1 complexes is applicable, when  $K_d(c_{Cu^{2+}}^\circ + c_{CuEDTA^{2-}}^\circ) > 100$  and in our case it is equal to 136. The influence of different cations and ionic strength on the solubility of Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA·4H<sub>2</sub>O is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Influence of ionic strength on solubility parameters of Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA · 4H<sub>2</sub>O precipitates.

Background electrolyte	Ionic strength	pН	Free Cu <sup>2+</sup> , mmol/l	Total Cu(II) in saturated solution, mmol/l	K <sub>d</sub>	S
			1.0	6.0	$2 \cdot 10^{3}$	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.25	5.12	2.4	17.2	$1.1 \cdot 10^{3}$	$5.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$
	1.25	5.18	2.1	31.8	$3.1 \cdot 10^{3}$	$4.4 \cdot 10^{-6}$
	2.5	5.18	1.6	39	$7 \cdot 10^{3}$	$2.6 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$K_2SO_4$	0.25	5.03	2.0	16.4	$1.5 \cdot 10^{3}$	$4.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$
	1.25	5.23	1.4	32.5	$7.8 \cdot 10^{3}$	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$
	2.5	5.28	1.4	41	$10.0 \cdot 10^{3}$	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$(NH_4)_2 SO_4$	0.25	4.80	2.0	16.6	$1.5 \cdot 10^{3}$	$4.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$
	1.25	4.40	1.0	36	$1.7 \cdot 10^{3}$	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$
	2.5	4.20	0.6	49	$6.6 \cdot 10^4$	$3.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$

Cu<sup>2+</sup>concentration determined using the copper ion selective electrode.

The concentration of free Cu<sup>2+</sup> in the solutions was determined using an ion selective electrode. With the increase in solution ionic strength the solubility of precipitate and dissociation constant increase, whereas the solubility product (*S*) decreases. It may be attributed partly to the formation of bihomonuclear Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA complexes in the solutions of high ionic strength [7]. The solubility of the precipitate was investigated using an excess of CuSO<sub>4</sub> and CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup>. In both cases the solubility of the Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA · 4H<sub>2</sub>O compound decreased with the increase in the concentrations of both components. When the concentration of CuSO<sub>4</sub> or CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup> was exceeding 150 mmol/l, the dissolved Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA · 4H<sub>2</sub>O precipitate, the dissociation constant (*K<sub>d</sub>*) and solubility product (*S*) could be calculated

$$c_s = K_d \cdot S + \frac{S}{c_{\text{CuEDTA}^{2-}}^{\circ}}$$
(4)

where  $c_s$  is the Cu<sup>2+</sup> concentration in the solution after dissolving Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA·4H<sub>2</sub>O. In Cu<sup>2+</sup> and CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup> concentration range from 200 to 400 mmol/l calculated  $K_d$ = 2.4 · 10<sup>3</sup> l/mol and S = 2 · 10<sup>-5</sup> mol<sup>2</sup> /l<sup>2</sup>.

In the pH range 2–6 the free EDTA is in the form of  $H_2EDTA^{2-}$ . Its two nitrogen atoms and two oxygen atoms from two COOH groups act as powerful chelating agents for Cu<sup>2+</sup> ion [10]. The two residual COOH groups are free and capable of

forming ionic or complexing bonds with  $Cu^{2+}$ . For the investigations of the interactions between  $Cu^{2+}$  and the ligand the FT-IR spectra were recorded for H<sub>4</sub>EDTA, which contained undissociated COOH groups, Na<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>EDTA, contained dissociated and undissociated COOH, and Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA · 4H<sub>2</sub>O (Table 2). The poorly defined band at 1686 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicates that the Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA · 4H<sub>2</sub>O compound contains a partly undissociated COOH. The well-defined bands at 1638 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1617 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicate the presence of coordinated as well as dissociated COOH groups.

	Wavenumber, cm <sup>-1</sup>				
Group	H <sub>4</sub> EDTA	Na <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> EDTA	Cu2EDTA·4H2O		
COOH undissociated ( $v_{as}$ )	1690	1673	1686		
$COO^{-}$ dissociated (v <sub>as</sub> )	-	1627	1617		
COOH coordinated $(v_{as})$	-	-	1638		
COOH valence symmetric vibration $(v_s)$	1420	1397	1391		
C–N	1214	1193	1091		

Table 2. COOH wavenumbers of EDTA in FT-IR spectra.

The packing in the solid state may be broken by the dissolution in polar solvents. Therefore, the results are not always applicable for the complexes existing in an aqueous solution, but the nature of bindings are the same in the crystal and in the solution. The results of FT-IR investigations indicate that Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA may form by interaction of the undissociated CuH<sub>2</sub>EDTA as well as dissociated CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup> with Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions. The decrease in conductivity during the CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup> titration with Cu<sup>2+</sup>, the formation of insoluble Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> at higher pH values in Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA solutions and deflection from absorbance additivity, indicate the complex formation. Each of the two Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions is bound in Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA ·4H<sub>2</sub>O compound by different bonds and therefore forms complexes of different stability (*pK* ~18 for CuEDTA<sup>2-</sup> and *pK* ~3 for Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA). Thus, the resulting Cu<sub>2</sub>EDTA is not a bihomonuclear complex compound.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Chaudhary A.J., Donaldson J.D., Grimes M.-ul- Hassen S.M. and Spencer R.J.. J. Chem. Techn. and Biotechn., **75**, 353 (2000).
- 2. Brooks C.S., Separation Science and Technology, 28 (1-3), 579 (1993).
- 3. Czepluch H. and Bissinger W.D.H., Galvanotechnik, 90, 4427 (1989).
- 4. Gylienė O. and Šalkauskas M., Plating and Surface Finishing, 83(10), 61 (1995).
- 5. Gylienė O., Aikaitė J. and Šalkauskas M., Galvanotechnik, 92, 2222 (2001).
- Gmelins Handbuch der anorganischen Chemie. 8 Auflage. Kupfer. Teil B–Lieferung 4. System Nummer 60, 1955, Verlag Chemie – GmBH, Wernheim/Bergstr., S. 1670.
- 7. Leonteva M.V. and Fridman A.Y., Koordinatsionaia khimiia, 14, 320 (1988) (in Russian).
- 8. Leonteva M.V. and D'iatlova N.M., Koordinatsionaia khimiia, 16, 823 (1990) (in Russian).
- 9. Saran L., Cavalheiro E. and Neves E.A., Talanta, 42, 2027 (1995).
- 10. D'iatlova N.M., Temkina V.I. and Popov K.I., Kompleksony i kompleksonaty metallov (Complexing Agents and Metal Complexes), Khimiia, Moskva, 544 p. (1998) (in Russian).
- 11. Lur'e Iu., Spravochnik analiticheskoi khimii (Handbook of Analytical Chemistry), Khimiia, Moskva, 1989 (in Russian).
- 12. Mc Bryde W.A.E., Talanta, 21, 979 (1974).
- 13. Budisinsky B.W., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 31, 1345 (1969).